

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/771,864 02/03/2004		Andrew P. Dove	06005/35142A 3507		
4743	7590 07/14/2006		EXAMINER		
	L, GERSTEIN & BORU	HARTMAN JR, RONALD D			
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, I	L 60606	2121			

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ition No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/771	,864	DOVE ET AL.					
		Examir	ier	Art Unit					
			D. Hartman Jr.	2121					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)[\(\sigma\)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 03 February	2004.						
,	•	2b)⊠ This action i							
,		condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Imation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date 2/3/2004.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	'O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/771,864

Art Unit: 2121

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-27 are directed to non-statutory subject matter.

As per claims 1 and 19, a "process control application" and a "process control element" is at best, software per se, which is not considered to be subject matter that is statutory.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to

Application/Control Number: 10/771,864

Art Unit: 2121

be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-39 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-45 of U.S. Patent No. 6,691,280.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the slight differences in wording would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, and this is further explained below.

Pending claim 1 teaches essentially the same invention as that claimed by way of patented claim 1, except that pending claim 1 recites, "a documentation field" while patent claim 1 recites, "a display screen having a plurality of display fields". Also, pending claim1 recites "textual information displayable to a user", while patented claim 1 only recites "display information to a user". These appear to be the only discernable differences between the conflicting claims, that is, between patented claim 1 and pending claim 1. These slight differences amount to the "fields" being representative of "textual information", and in light of patented claims 37 and 45, this appears to be a feature which is already anticipated since it is explicitly claimed. The pending claimed invention, represented by the claims, therefore, appears to be obvious variation of the invention already patented.

Pending claims 2-11 recite the same features as patented claims 2-11, respectively.

Pending claims 12-14 recite the same features as patented claims 16-18, respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,864

Art Unit: 2121

Pending claims 15-18 recite the same features as patented claims 12-15, respectively.

The differences between pending claim 19 and patented claim 19 parallel those, with respect to pending and patented claim 1, and therefore the same rational, with respect to the differences between pending and patented claim 1, are applied equally herein.

Pending claims 20-27 recite the same features as patented claims 20-27, respectively.

As per pending claim 28, patented claim 28 teaches essentially the same process control system except for the differences already mentioned with respect to pending claims 1 and 19, and the same rational, from above, is applied equally herein.

Pending claims 29-36 recite the same features as patented claims 29-36, respectively.

As per pending claim 37, patented claim 37 teaches essentially the method system except for the differences already mentioned with respect to pending claims 1, 19 and 28, and the same rational, from above, is applied equally herein.

Pending claims 38-39 recite the same features as patented claims 38-39, respectively.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald D. Hartman Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3684. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 11:00 - 8:30 pm, EST.

Art Unit: 2121

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on (571) 272-3687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ronald D Hartman Jr.

Page 5

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2121
Rold D Hatman

July 6, 2006

RDH

XRDH